

Titel	Peace Transition and Statebuilding in Post-Conflict Societies
Typ	Fachseminar: Politikwissenschaft
Veranstalter	Dr. Nemanja Dzuverovic (University Belgrade), nemanja.dzuverovic@fpn.bg.ac.rs Further contact person at Andrassy University Budapest, Dr. Christina Griessler, christina.griessler@andrassyuni.hu
Zeit	7– 8 June 2018
Ort	AUB HS 6
Sprechstunde	Contact nemanja.dzuverovic@fpn.bg.ac.rs or christina.griessler@andrassyuni.hu

Inhalt und Ziele

Course description

The aim of the seminar is to investigate main principles, foundation, and actors involved in the dominant form of peacebuilding today - liberal peacebuilding. In the last twenty-five years, and in its present form, liberal peace has attained truly global dominance, stretching from the Balkans (Bosnia and Herzegovina, FR Yugoslavia) to Africa (Libya, Mali), South-East Asia (Cambodia, Timor Leste) and other conflict-affected regions. Additionally, in this notion of post-conflict transition, peace is primarily understood as governance (Richmond 2009), entailing comprehensive implementation of generic institutional blueprints (most often Western) in post-conflict and conflict-affected societies, thus implying their universality and superiority. As a result, strong criticism (and resistance), both in academia and the local population, has been developed against this form of top-down peacebuilding. Consequently, the main points of critique, as well as alternative, grassroots, models of peace transitions will be included as topics of the seminar. By focusing on these examples of small peace (Smoker 1981), the seminar tries to understand possibilities, but also limitations of bottom-up (emancipatory) endeavors, often seen as the antipode of the liberal approach. Finally, the everyday aspect (Mac Ginty 2014) of the liberal peace, its successes, and shortcomings will be investigated by employing comparative approach and by focusing on local interpretations of these peace interventions in the case of Northern Ireland and the Balkans.

Course structure

7 June

09:30 - 13:00 general introduction (Nemanja Dzuverovic, University of Belgrade)

- Foundation and principles of liberal peacebuilding and statebuilding in post-conflict and conflict-affected societies
- Main criticism of liberal peacebuilding and its alternatives
- Contextualization of liberal peace: The case of Socialist Federal Republic of Yugoslavia
- Q&A section followed by group discussion

13:00 - 14:00 lunch break

14:00 - 17:00 Peace transition and statebuilding: Case studies

- Northern Ireland (Christina Griessler, Andrassy University)
- Bosnia and Herzegovina (Hana Semanic, CEU)
- Discussion

8 June

09:30 - 12:30 Student presentations

12.30 - 13.00 Summing up

Course reading list

Course reading list

- Chandler, D., 2006. State-Building in Bosnia: The Limits of 'Informal Trusteeship'. *International Journal of Peace Studies*, 11 (1): 17-38.
- Cooper, N., Turner, M. & Pugh, M., 2011. The end of history and the last liberal peacebuilder: a reply to Roland Paris. *Review of International Studies*, 37 (4), 1995–2007.
- David, C., 2007. EU Statebuilding: Securing the Liberal Peace Through EU Enlargement, *Global Society* 21 (4): 593–607.
- Duffield, M., 2010. Risk-Management and the Fortified Aid Compound: Everyday Life in Post-Interventionary Society, *Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding* 4 (4): 453–74.
- Heathershaw, J., 2013. Towards better theories of peacebuilding: beyond the liberal peace debate. *Peacebuilding*, 1 (2), 275–282.
- Kappler, S., 2012. Divergent transformation and centrifugal peacebuilding: The EU in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *International Peacekeeping*, 19 (5), 612–627.
- Lemay-Hébert, N., 2011. The “empty-shell” approach: The setup process of international administrations in Timor-Leste and Kosovo, its consequences and lessons. *International Studies Perspectives*, 12 (2), 190–211.
- Lemay-Hébert, N. & Kappler, S., 2016. What attachment to peace? Exploring the normative and material dimensions of local ownership in peacebuilding. *Review of International Studies*, 42 (5), 895–914.
- Leonardsson, H., and Rudd, G., 2015. The “local turn” in peacebuilding: a literature review of effective and emancipatory local peacebuilding. *Third World Quarterly*, 36 (5), 825–839.
- Mac Ginty, R., 2008. Indigenous peace-making versus the liberal peace. *Cooperation and Conflict*, 43 (2), 139–163.
- Mac Ginty, R., 2010. Hybrid peace: The interaction between top-down and bottom-up peace. *Security Dialogue*, 41 (4), 391–412.
- Mac Ginty, R., 2011. *International peacebuilding and local resistance: Hybrid forms of peace*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Mac Ginty, R. & Richmond, O.P., 2013. The local turn in peace building: a critical agenda for peace. *Third World Quarterly*, 34 (5), 763–783.
- Newman, E., 2009. Liberal peacebuilding debates, In E. Newman, R. Paris and O. Richmond, ed. *New perspectives on liberal peacebuilding*. Tokyo and New York: United Nations University Press, 26-54.
- Paffenholz, T., 2015. Unpacking the local turn in peacebuilding: a critical assessment towards an agenda for future research. *Third World Quarterly*, 36 (5), 857–874.
- Paris, R., 2004. *At war's end*, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Paris, R. and Sisk, T.D., 2009. *The Dilemmas of Statebuilding*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Paris, R., 2010. Saving Liberal Peacebuilding, *Review of International Studies* 36 (2): 337-365.
- Peter, M., 2011. Shifting Contours of International State-building Practices in Bosnia and Herzegovina, in *State or Nation: The Challenges of Political Transition in Bosnia and Herzegovina*, ed. E. Sarajlić and D. Marko. Sarajevo: the University of Sarajevo, Center for Interdisciplinary Postgraduate Studies, 39-67.
- Pugh, M., 2005. The political economy of peacebuilding: a critical theory perspective. *International Journal of Peace Studies*, 10 (2), 23–42.
- Richmond, O.P., 2009. A post-liberal peace: Eirenism and the everyday. *Review of International Studies*, 35 (3), 557–580.

Richmond, O.P. & Mitchell, A., 2011. *Hybrid forms of peace: From everyday agency to post-liberalism*, London: Palgrave Macmillan.
Richmond, O., 2014. *Failed Statebuilding*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 2014.
Richmond, O., Pogodda, S. and Ramovic, J., 2016. *The Palgrave Handbook of Disciplinary and Regional Approaches to Peace*. Springer.
Stephenson, M., and Zanotti, L., 2012. *Peacebuilding through community-based NGOs: Paradoxes and possibilities*, Sterling: Kumarian Press.
Vogel, B. 2016. Civil Society Capture: Top-Down Interventions From Below?, *Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding* 10 (4): 472–89;

Course requirements

For successful completion of the seminar student are obliged to:

- (1) Send the 3-page summary of 5 selected readings from the course reading list to nemanja.dzuverovic@fpn.bg.ac.rs two days before the beginning of the doctoral seminar.
- (2) Prepare 20 minutes presentation on one of the following topics:
 - (i) Liberal peacebuilding is failed by design. (students can either argument or counter-argument the thesis or both - *pro et contra*)
 - (ii) Peace transition: Success and failures (comparative approach of 2 or more case studies - selected by students - of liberal peacebuilding and/or post-conflict statebuilding missions)
 - (iii) Local approaches to peacebuilding (presentation on indigenous methods for conflict resolution, reconciliation, development or any other relevant peacebuilding aspect)